

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/945,125	Applicant(s) FRATER, MARK S.
	Examiner Michael J Feely	Art Unit 1712

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Michael J Feely.

(3) _____.

(2) John P. O'Banion (Reg. No. 33,201).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

9,10,15,41-43,46-50

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On January 30, 2004, the Examiner sent a fax to Mr. O'Banion including a proposed Examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. The changes addressed minor informalities and wording inconsistencies. After reviewing the proposal, Mr. O'Banion followed-up on February 3, 2004, approving the proposed changes. A copy of the fax is attached.